

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,720	06/19/2001	Richard R. Hengst	6096-01 2520 EXAMINER	
26614	7590 02/06/2004			
PEPE & HAZARD, LLP 225 ASYLUM ST. HARTFORD, CT 06103			KACKAR, RAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/884,720	HENGST, RICHARD R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ram N Kackar	1763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	16 October 2003.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🖂 🕽	This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,11-16,29,30 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,11-16,29,30 and 32</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the lithe drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 11-15, 29-30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richard R Hengst (US 5931666) in view of Lu at al (US 5904778).

Hengst et al disclose a vertical wafer boat with plurality of support rods for supporting plurality of silicon wafers having ceramic body of SiC or recrystallized SiC (Col 2 line 60), having ceramic coating of SiC to prevent migration of impurities (Col 5 line 32-45) and surface roughness to prevent slip of less than 1 µm (Col 4 lines 14-22), horizontal base, top plate, support rods, plurality of slots, each having ceramic coating and surface finish (Fig 1).

Hengst et al disclose ceramic coating of silicon carbide but do not disclose its thickness.

Lu et al disclose SiC coating on sintered Silicon carbide being 100µm or less (Col 6 line 21-23).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a thickness of less than 100 microns because too thick a layer may have a tendency to peel and too thin layer may not provide adequate protection.

Art Unit: 1763

3. Claims 1-6, 9, 11-15, 29-30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al (JP 11016993) which later became (US Patent 6093644) in view of Lu at al (US 5904778).

Inaba et al disclose a vertical wafer boat for supporting silicon wafers having ceramic body (Fig 1 and Col 1 line 34) having ceramic coating to prevent migration of impurities (Col 1 line 20) and surface finish over the coating to prevent slip in substrates of large diameters (Col 1 line 20) and at high temperature (Col 1 line 13), maximum roughness of the finish less than 10 µm and an impurity of less than 0.1 ppm (Col 3 line 14 and 15), horizontal base (Fig 1-13), top plate (Fig 1-12), support rods (Fig 1-11) and plurality of slots (Fig -14), each having ceramic coating and surface finish (Col 2 line 47).

Inaba et al disclose ceramic coating of silicon carbide but do not disclose its thickness.

Lu et al disclose SiC coating on sintered Silicon carbide being 100μm or less (Col 6 line 21-23).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a thickness of less than 100 microns because too thick a layer may have a tendency to peel and too thin layer may not provide adequate protection.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al (JP 11016993) which later became (US Patent 6093644) or Richard R Hengst (US 5931666) in view of Larry S Wingo (US 6171400).

Inaba et al or Richard R Hengst both, disclose a vertical wafer boat for supporting silicon wafers having ceramic body but do not disclose a stress relief slot and location notch.

Wingo discloses wafer boat having both stress relief slot and notches at the base (Fig 1).

Art Unit: 1763

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the teaching of Wingo so as to be able to avoid problems due to thermal expansion and be able to place the boat correctly on processing platform.

Response to Amendment

The affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 10/16/2003 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-6, 9, 11-16, 29, 30 and 32 based upon 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action because of the following:

The affiant argues that the claims are patentable on account of commercial success of the product incorporating the invention. The affiant states that upon introduction in to the market Crystar 300 mm wafer boats immediately gained market share while to the best of affiant's knowledge there was no unusual increase in the marketing budget, promotion or advertising.

Affiant however does not provide any quantitative data to support this assertion.

Secondly, even if it is agreed that there was some commercial success its nexus to the claimed invention has not been established.

Of the two most significant parts of the invention, frictional slip preventing roughness being substantially equal to 1.0 micron, is taught in the prior art disclosure of the inventor (Hengst) and in that of (Inaba). Both teach a ceramic coating, also. The only difference between these teachings and the invention is the claimed thickness of the ceramic coating being substantially greater than or equal to 30 micron. As discussed in the office action, the actual thickness should provide adequate protection without being too thick. Lu discloses a thickness of less than 100 micron.

Art Unit: 1763

Page 5

In view of the foregoing, when all of the evidence is considered, the totality of the rebuttal evidence of nonobviousness fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 571 272 1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK

SULL FOR THE TENTH OF THE TENTH